

## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:

Office: San Antonio

Date:

FEB 2 2 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Certificate of Citizenship under § 341(a) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1452(a)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented



## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> identification daily delicated to present clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, AMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director

Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, San Antonio, Texas, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant was born on September 8, 1962 in Mexico. The applicant's father, was born in Texas in January 1928. The applicant's mother, was born in Mexico in June 1944 and never had a

, was born in Mexico in June 1944 and never had a claim to United States citizenship. The applicant's parents married each other on July 26, 1961. The applicant claims that he acquired United States citizenship at birth under § 301(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1401(g).

The district director determined the record failed to establish that the applicant's United States citizen parent had been physically present in the United States or one of its outlying possessions for 10 years, at least 5 of which were after age 14, as required under § 301(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1401(g), at the time of the applicant's birth and denied the application accordingly.

On appeal, the applicant disagrees with the decision and asserts that he sent all the necessary information which is true.

Montana v. Kennedy, 278 F.2d 68, affd. 366 U.S. 308 (1961), held that to determine whether a person acquired U.S. citizenship at birth abroad, resort must be had to the statute in effect at the time of birth. Section 301(g) of the Act was in effect at the time of the applicant's birth.

Section 301(g) of the Act in effect prior to November 14, 1986 provides, in pertinent part, that a person born outside the geographical limits of the United States and its outlying possessions of parents one of whom is an alien, and the other a citizen of the United States who, prior to the birth of such person, was physically present in the United States or its outlying possessions for a period or periods totaling not less than 10 years, at least 5 of which were after attaining the age 14 years, shall be nationals and citizens of the United States at birth.

The record indicates that the applicant's father was interviewed under oath on August 23, 2000 and he failed to provide credible evidence that he was physically present in the United States for 10 years, 5 after the age of 14, and prior to the applicant's birth. Other affidavits in the record indicate that the affiants last saw the applicant's father in the early 1940's. The record is devoid of additional evidence to support the applicant's assertions.

Absent such supportive evidence, the applicant has not shown that he acquired United States citizenship at birth because he has failed to establish that his father was physically present in the United States for the required period prior to the applicant's birth.

8 C.F.R. 341.2(c) states that the burden of proof shall be on the claimant to establish the claimed citizenship by a preponderance of the evidence.

The applicant has not met this burden of establishing his father had been physically present in the United States a total of 10 years, 5 of which were after the age 14. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.